

The influence of vegetation on the spatial distribution of water velocity in a regulated lowland river – preliminary results

Andrzej Strużyński, Maciej Wyrębek, Leszek Książek

University of Agriculture in Kraków







The aim of the work is to compare water flow parameters and measurement problems in sections with and without vegetation.



XLII – International School of Hydraulics



Study object:

Nida River

Length - 153 km

Catchment area exceeds 3.8 thousand km². The Nida is a lowland river with sandy bottom.

Presented data:

 $2020-03-31 - Q = 8.35 \text{ [m}^{3}/\text{s]}, I = 0.00030 \text{ [-]}$ $2020-09-21 - Q = 3.72 \text{ [m}^{3}/\text{s]}, I = 0.00035 \text{ [-]}$ $2021-05-31 - Q = 9.15 \text{ [m}^{3}/\text{s]}, I = 0.00033 \text{ [-]}$ $2022-10-22 - Q = 8.71 \text{ [m}^{3}/\text{s]}, I = 0.00031 \text{ [-]}$



DTM – geoportal.gov.pl



Methodology:

ADCP GPS, RTK Low altitude photogrametry

GIS – data processing Velocity fields interpolation Plant zones - roughness







195.00

190.45

,天



Vegetation in progress

national service

2020-03-31 – Q = 8.35 [m³/s], I = 0.00030 [-]

XLII – International School of Hydraulics

google service

aerial view – 2020-07-15

different colors in vegetation zone

XLII – International School of Hydraulics

Fhoto: P. Adamski



- settlement areas observed
- low discharge





2020-09-21 – Q = 3.72 [m³/s], I = 0.00035 [-]



2021-05-31 – Q = 9.15 [m³/s], I = 0.00033 [-]



2021-05-31 – Q = 9.15 [m³/s], I = 0.00033 [-]



2022-10-22 – Q = 8.71 [m³/s], I = 0.00031 [-]



settlement areas observed

2022-10-22 – Q = 8.71 [m³/s], I = 0.00031 [-]

2020-03-31 – Q = 8.35 [m³/s], I = 0.00030 [-]



2020-09-21 – Q = 3.72 [m³/s], I = 0.00035 [-]







2021-05-31 – Q = 9.15 [m³/s], I = 0.00033 [-]



XLII – International School of Hydraulics



19249

XLII – International School of Hydraulics



2022-10-22 – Q = 8.71 [m³/s], I = 0.00031 [-]



session length - 690m

2022-10-22 – Q = 8.71 [m³/s], I = 0.00031 [-]



Measurements Velocity [m/s]



XLII – International School of Hydraulics



 $2020-03-31 - Q = 8.35 \text{ [m}^{3}\text{/s]}$ $2020-09-21 - Q = 3.72 \text{ [m}^{3}\text{/s]}$ $2021-05-31 - Q = 9.15 \text{ [m}^{3}\text{/s]}$

2022-10-22 – Q = 8.71 [m³/s]



Models

Velocity [m/s]

0.85

0.00

XLII – International School of Hydraulics

model Q = 4 m³/s



DTM 2020-03-31

DTM 2020-09-21



DTM 2021-05-31



XLII – International School of Hydraulics

smooth V firlds good agreement



Velocity [m/s]

 $\begin{array}{c} measurement-3.72 \\ Q \ [m^3/s] \end{array} \hspace{1.5cm} model-4.00 \\ \end{array}$

aerial photo



Velocity [m/s]

0.85

0.00

XLII – International School of Hydraulics

model Q = $9.15 \text{ m}^{3}/\text{s}$



DTM 2020-03-31

DTM 2020-09-21 bad agreement ?



DTM 2021-05-31

XLII – International School of Hydraulics

Conclusions

- 1. On September 2020 vegetation was weak in the river channel and every next time it was bigger
- 2. There is seasonal change in vegetation dynamics
 - fresh vegetation on spring,
 - dense vegetation on autumn,
 - settlement areas on autumn
- 3. Vegetation can lead to stream concentration
- 4. When light penetrates to the bottom, vegetation can overgrow the cross-section
- 5. ADCP measurements results with the map of temporary velocity, interpolation give many artifacts
- 6. Problem with reflecting vegetation effect (roughness) for different discharges (in calibration process)

